Ascertaining the Suitability of Aryl Sulfonyl Fluorides for [¹⁸F]Radiochemistry Applications: A Systematic Investigation using **Microfluidics**

Lidia Matesic, Naomi A. Wyatt, Benjamin H. Fraser,* Maxine P. Roberts, Tien Q. Pham, and Ivan Greguric

LifeSciences Division, Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO), Locked Bag 2001, Kirrawee DC, NSW 2232, Australia

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-7-0)STRACT: [Optimization](#page-7-0) of $[{}^{18}F]$ radiolabeling conditions and subsequent stability analysis in mobile phase, PBS buffer, and rat serum of 12 aryl sulfonyl chloride precursors with various substituents (electron-withdrawing groups, electron-donating groups, increased steric bulk, heterocyclic) were performed using an Advion NanoTek Microfluidic Synthesis System. A comparison of radiochemical yields and reaction times for a microfluidics device versus a

conventional reaction vessel is reported. $[{}^{18}F]$ Radiolabeling of sulfonyl chlorides in the presence of competing nucleophiles, Hbond donors, and water was also assessed and demonstrated the versatility and potential utility of [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluorides as synthons for indirect radiolabeling.

■ INTRODUCTION

Positron emission tomography (PET) is a molecular imaging technique that gives detailed three-dimensional information on functional processes in the body. PET has established diagnostic applications in oncology, $1,2$ neurology^{2,3} and cardiology⁴ but is also proving extremely useful in drug discovery⁵ and for understanding diseas[e p](#page-7-0)athology.⁶ [Alth](#page-7-0)ough many PE[T](#page-7-0) radioisotopes (gallium-68, zirconium-89, iodine-124) are [b](#page-7-0)ecoming routinely available for researc[h](#page-7-0) purposes, fluorine-18 ([18F]) remains very popular due to favorable physical and chemical properties. These include low energy positron emission (0.202 MeV), excellent decay profile (97% β^+ emission), advantageous half-life (110 min) and similar steric and electronic properties to the hydroxyl group.^{7,8} Although the use of the diagnostic radiopharmaceutical [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose ([18F]FDG) is very widespre[ad,](#page-7-0) single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) agents containing technetium-99m remain the most widely prescribed diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals. This is in large part due to their simple preparation. The radiometal and ligand components are, often, simply mixed together and then injected into a patient. The development of similar, straightforward methods for $\lceil^{18}F\rceil$ will be essential for ensuring it remains an important class of radiopharmaceuticals into the future. The majority of $\lceil {^{18}\text{F}} \rceil$ radiopharmaceuticals are prepared using nocarrier-added (n.c.a.) $\lceil^{18}F\rceil$ fluoride, which is incorporated into radiotracers either directly or indirectly. The direct route usually involves $[{}^{18}F]$ fluoride displacement of a suitable leaving group (halogen, sulfonate ester, nitro group, ammonium cation) from an aliphatic (S_N^2) or aromatic (S_N^2 Ar) precursor.⁸ Direct routes are typically used for small molecules that can tolerate high reaction temperatures or when sensitive function[al](#page-7-0)

groups can be protected and deprotected after $[$ ¹⁸F $]$ fluoride incorporation. In contrast, indirect routes involve incorporation of $[{}^{18}F]$ fluoride into a radiolabeling "synthon", which is then attached to the biological vector. Synthons are used for radiolabeling macromolecules such as peptides, proteins or antibodies that would decompose at higher temperatures or that contain hydrogen bond donor groups (−NH2, −OH, −COOH) that would interfer with [18F]fluoride incorporation. Currently there are numerous indirect radiolabeling synthons available including, but not limited to, 1 -bromo-2- $[^{18}F]$ fluoroethane $([^{18}F]BEE;$ alkylation), 9 1- $[^{18}F]$ fluoro-4-iodobenzene (Pd catalyzed cross-coupling), 10 N-succinimidyl 4- $[^{18}F]$ fluorobenzoate $([^{18}F]SFB$; acy[la](#page-7-0)tion)¹¹ and $4-[^{18}F]$ fluorobenzaldehyde $([^{18}F]FBA$; oxi[me](#page-7-0) formation)¹² (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Radiosynthons in $[$ ¹⁸F]radiolabeling.

Received: August 12, 2013 Published: October 18, 2013

ACS Publications

All the above synthons contain the carbon- $[$ ¹⁸F]fluorine bond and require multistep syntheses, are water incompatible during [¹⁸F]fluoride incorporation and are usually isolated in low radiochemical yields. Over the past decade there have been limited reports13−¹⁸ using phosphorus, silicon, boron, aluminum and sulfur containing functional groups as alternatives for indirect [¹⁸F]r[adiolab](#page-7-0)eling. The advantages of noncarbon based functional groups are typically lower $[$ ¹⁸F $]$ fluoride incorporation temperatures, shorter reaction times, higher radiochemical yields and increased water compatibility/tolerance.¹⁹ The disadvantage is generally a decrease in stability of the fluorine to heteroatom bond although it has been shown t[hat](#page-7-0) [¹⁸F]trifluoroborates have excellent stability in vivo.²⁰ During the course of our current investigations the use of substituted benzenesulfonyl fluorides were reported by the [gr](#page-7-0)oup of Inkster.¹⁸ In this work an aldehyde functionalized $[^{18}F]$ benzenesulfonyl fluoride synthon was coupled to the peptide bombe[sin](#page-7-0) in very good radiochemical yield (64%). The radiotracer was completely stable in 10% DMSO/water at physiological pH and temperature but was only 55% intact after 15 min in mouse serum. Given these encouraging radiochemical and stability results, which also demonstrate the clear need for improvement, we have systematically explored the effects of temperature, precursor amount, reaction time, precursor sterics, precursor electronics, presence of water and other nucleophiles upon the radiochemical yield and subsequent stability of various substituted $[$ ¹⁸F]arylsulfonyl fluorides. The findings from this study increases the very limited fundamental knowledge of [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluoride radiochemistry and provides insight for the future design of [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluoride based radiotracers. This work is especially relevant given the increasing amount of literature describing biologically active molecules containing the sulfonyl fluoride functional group.21−²³

■ RESULTS [AND D](#page-7-0)ISCUSSION

Twelve aryl sulfonyl chloride precursors (1a−12a) were selected in order to assess the effect of precursor electronics and sterics upon radiochemical yield (Scheme 1). Analogues

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Sulfonyl Fluorides 1b−12b and [18F] 1b−12b

bearing neutral $(1a,$ based on Hammett constants), 24 electrondonating (2a−4a) and electron-withdrawing (5a−9a) functional groups, together with sulfonyl chlorides [c](#page-7-0)ontaining varying degrees of steric bulk (10a, 11a) and one heterocyclic sulfonyl chloride (12a), were examined.

Microfluidic Radiosynthesis. The use of microfluidic systems to accelerate reactions in conventional synthetic chemistry is well established; however, this technology remains significantly underutilized in radiochemistry,^{25−27} although the first account of a PET radiotracer for human use, produced in

batch mode on a microfluidic system, has just been reported.²⁸ Microfluidic devices contain microreactors with internal diameters of 10−300 μ m and have much larger surface [to](#page-7-0) volume ratio compared to conventional vessel-type reactors. As a result, microfluidic reactors mix fluids with high speed and precision, facilitate rapid and uniform heat transfer, give shorter reaction times, reduce consumption of reagents²⁹ and decrease user exposure to ionizing radiation due to the ease of shielding.²⁵

Radiolabeling under microfluidic conditions was performed using th[e](#page-7-0) Advion NanoTek Microfluidic Synthesis System, which consists of a base module containing two syringe pumps each connected to a multifunctional valve, a reactor module encompassing a syringe pump connected to a multifunctional valve plus a heating area for the independent heating of up to four microreactors, and a concentrator/evaporator module containing a syringe pump linked to a multiport valve plus a vessel heater which is connected to an auxiliary diaphragm pump (Figure 2). Detailed descriptions of the NanoTek system have been reported previously.³⁰

Within the [m](#page-2-0)icrofluidic apparatus, the concentrator/evaporator module prepared the an[hyd](#page-7-0)rous potassium \lceil ¹⁸F \rceil fluoride-Kryptofix [2.2.2] ($K^{18}F/K_{222}$) complex, before being dissolved in acetonitrile and transferred to loop 3 via pump 3, ready to be dispensed as required. Approximately 20 radiosynthetic reactions could be performed from one batch of (n.c.a.) [18F]fluoride (500−2300 MBq) loaded onto the loop inside the microfluidic system. Likewise, the appropriate sulfonyl chloride precursor (1a−12a) was dissolved in acetonitrile and loaded into loop 1 via pump 1. The remaining pump module (in our case, pump 2) is typically used for two-step reactions, whereby another precursor is required and, hence, was not utilized for our reactions. The precursor and the activated $K^{18}F/K_{222}$ complex in solution were transferred from their corresponding modules into the microreactor where the reaction took place. Parameters such as precursor mass (0.5−10 mg/mL), temperature (30−180 °C) and precursor volume (10−30 μ L) were controlled in the Discovery Mode of the NanoTek software. The microreactors were made of tightly coiled silica tubing (100 μ m \times 2 m) with an internal volume of 15.7 μ L. Hence, at a flow rate of 20 μ L/min for each, the precursor and $K^{18}F/K_{222}$ complex, the residence (or reaction) time inside the microreactor was 23.6 s per reaction. In conjunction with the final sweeping of the product through the system, each reaction was completed within 2 min on the microfluidic system. The end product from the microfluidic reaction was diluted with water before being injected onto an analytical HPLC system for analysis and purification. Isolated radiochemical yields (RCY) of [18F]1b−12b were calculated based on the initial radioactivity injected. Nonradioactive reference standards (1b−12b) used to confirm the radiosynthesis of $[{}^{18}F]1b-12b$, were synthesized by reacting 1a−12a with TBAF overnight (Scheme 1).

Precursor 4a (R = 4-OCH₃ $-C_6H_4$) was chosen for initial precursor amount optimization experiments. At concentrations of 2 mg/mL and 10 mg/mL, $[^{18}F]$ 4b was able to be prepared in >75% RCY ($n = 3$) at all temperatures between 30 and 180 °C (Figure 3). When the precursor amount was reduced to 0.5 mg/mL, the yield remained >75% at 30 °C but steadily decrease[d](#page-2-0) to <50% with increasing temperatures up to 180 °C. This is most likely due to precursor decomposition at higher temperatures but is not pertinent as excellent radiochemical

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the NanoTek microfluidic system setup. This figure originally appeared in Ungersboeck et al.³¹ reproduced with permission.

Figure 3. Effects of varying precursor mass on the radiochemical yield of $[18F]$ 4b. Each data point represents the mean of at least three independent experiments \pm SD. (Some error bars are smaller than the data points).

yields were obtained at 30 °C. An example of a typical HPLC radio-chromatogram is displayed in Figure 4.

The remaining sulfonyl chlorides 1a−12a were then radiolabeled (1−40 MBq) in acetonitrile using 0.5 mg/mL of precursor (pump 1), a precursor volume of 10 μ L, a 450 μ L solution containing $[$ ¹⁸F]fluoride with 5 mg/mL of K₂CO₃ and

Figure 4. Crude radio-HPLC chromatogram of $[^{18}\text{F}]$ 4b after reaction was performed at 140 °C on the microfluidic system; (top) radioactivity (cps); (bottom) UV at 254 nm (abs).

20 mg/mL of K_{222} (pump [3](#page-7-0)), pump 1 to pump 3 ratio of 1, flow rate of 20 μ L/min and temperatures of 30–180 °C on the microfluidic system (Figure 5). Although microfluidic systems are able to operate under supercritical conditions, whereby solvents can be heated [we](#page-3-0)ll-above their boiling points, temperatures greater than 180 °C were not used to minimize the risk of damage to the microreactors.

As shown in Figure 5, at a reaction temperature of 30 °C all sulfonyl chlorides (1a−12a), with the exception of nitro precursor 5a ($R = 4-NO_2-C_6H_4$ $R = 4-NO_2-C_6H_4$ $R = 4-NO_2-C_6H_4$) were radiolabeled in >60% RCY ($n \ge 3$). At 100 °C the RCY of [¹⁸F]8b (R = 4-Br-C₆H₄) decreased dramatically (Figure 5C) while all remaining [18F]sulfonyl fluorides were prepared in 48−78% RCY (n ≥ 3). At 120 °C, the RCY of $[^{18}F]7b$ (R = 4-Cl-C₆H₄) also decreased (Figure 5C) but all o[th](#page-3-0)er [18F]sulfonyl fluorides could still be produced in 39–76% RCY ($n \geq 3$). The results indicate that the R[CY](#page-3-0) at higher reaction temperatures is lowest for precursors bearing electron-withdrawing groups in the 4 position (e.g., 5a, 7a, 8a) (Figure 5C) and that the magnitude of this decrease is proportional to the Hammett constants of 5a $(\sigma_{\rm p} = 0.78)$ $(\sigma_{\rm p} = 0.78)$, 7a $(\sigma_{\rm p} = 0.23)$ and 8a $(\sigma_{\rm p} = 0.23)$ (see Supporting Information for full table of Hammett constants). The RCY for precursors encompassing electron-donating substi[tuents also](#page-7-0) [followed this](#page-7-0) trend with 2a ($\sigma_{\rm p}$ = −0.15), 3a ($\sigma_{\rm p}$ = −0.15) and 4a (σ_p = -0.27) all obtained in >70% RCY ($n \ge 3$) at temperatures of 120 °C, with $[^{18}F]$ 4b (R = 4-OCH₃-C₆H₄) being formed in >50% RCY ($n \ge 3$) at 180 °C (Figure 5B). At 180 °C the N-acetyl product, $[^{18}F]$ 2b was synthesized in the greatest RCY of all 12 sulfonyl fluorides (70 \pm 9%, n = [3, F](#page-3-0)igure 5B). This trend suggests that decreases in RCY either through decomposition of the $[$ ¹⁸F]labeled compound or precursor [o](#page-3-0)ccurs through a mechanism in which the rate limiting step is nucleophilic attack at the sulfonyl group. Sulfonyl fluorides with increased steric bulk $[^{18}F]10b (R = 2, 4, 6\text{-}CH_3\text{-}C_6H_2)$ and $[^{18}F]$ 11b (R = 2,4,6-CH(CH₃)₂-C₆H₂) or a heterocyclic moiety $[{}^{18}F]$ 12b (R = 2-thiophene) were produced in good RCY at temperatures up to 120 °C after which significant decreases in yield were observed (Figure 5D). However, the sulfonyl fluoride containing the greatest amount of steric bulk, the triisopropyl-substituted [18F]11[b](#page-3-0) still managed to exhibit a

Figure 5. Effects of varying temperature on the incorporated radiochemical yields of $[^{18}F]1b-12b$. (A) $[^{18}F]$ Sulfonyl fluorides containing a neutral substituent $(\sigma_p = 0.00)$; (B) [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluorides containing an electron-donating substituent $(\sigma_p = -0.15 - -0.27)$; (C) [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluorides containing an electron-withdrawing substituent (σ_p = 0.06 – 0.78); (D) [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluorides containing sterically bulky (σ_p = -0.15 – -0.17) or heterocyclic ($\sigma_p = N/A$) substituents. Each data point represents the mean of at least three independent experiments \pm SD. (Some error bars are smaller than the data points).

RCY of 59 \pm 6% (*n* = 3) at 180 °C. This shows steric bulk does not inhibit radiolabeling but subsequently protects the compound from decomposition. The precursor containing the most electron-withdrawing group 5a ($R = 4-NO_2-C_6H_4$, σ_p = 0.78), gave no detectable radiolabeled product at temperatures of 30−180 °C. However, by altering the precursor mass to 10 mg/mL, it was possible to produce $\left[$ ¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluorides containing electron-withdrawing groups such as $[^{18}F]$ 5b in high RCY (>75%), at a range of temperatures (30−180 °C) and with excellent radiochemical purity (>97%).

Conventional Vessel-based Radiosynthesis. Sulfonyl chlorides 2a, 4a and 5a with Hammett constants −0.15, −0.27 and 0.78 respectively, were also radiolabeled (200−300 MBq) in conventional vessel-type reactions in order to compare the RCY to the microfluidic reactions. To mimic the microfluidic conditions as closely as possible, precursors 2a, 4a and 5a (at 0.5 mg/mL) were heated to 100 °C in acetonitrile (higher temperatures were not used due to the low boiling point of acetonitrile and the pressure limitations of the reaction vessel) for 2 min with 5 mg/mL of K_2CO_3 and 20 mg/mL of K_{222} . Under these conditions the nitro precursor $5a (R = 4-NO₂)$ C_6H_4) did not yield a detectable radiolabeled product, (consistent with the microfluidic system) while the methoxy precursor 4a ($R = 4$ -OCH₃-C₆H₄) produced the radiolabeled product $[18F]$ 4b in 1% RCY (c.f. 74% at 100 °C on the microfluidic system). The N-acetyl precursor $2a$ (R = 4-NHCOCH₃-C₆H₄) was radiolabeled to give $[$ ¹⁸F]2**b** in the greatest RCY at 30%, however, this yield is still much lower than the 78% RCY produced at 100 °C on the microfluidic system. These results are not surprising, as the use of excess

equivalents of K_{222} and K_2CO_3 are known to reduce [¹⁸F]fluoride incorporation and can lead to decomposition of the precursor. $32,33$ On the contrary, short residence times and the superior mixing and heating capabilities of the microfluidics system may c[ircum](#page-7-0)vent some of the decomposition problems often observed with conventional or automated synthesis conditions. Higher RCYs using conventional heating could be achieved by using 0.5 mg/mL of precursor, in acetonitrile at 100 °C for 2 min with a lower ratio of K_{222} (1 mol equiv) and $\mathrm{K}_2\mathrm{CO}_3$ (2 mol equiv). This resulted in $[^{18}\mathrm{F}]4\mathrm{b}, [^{18}\mathrm{\tilde{F}}]2\mathrm{b}$ and [¹⁸F]5b being synthesized in 88 \pm 2%, 87 \pm 3% and 74 \pm 6% RCY, respectively $(n \geq 3)$, with no significant difference in RCY when the reactions were performed at 30 °C. $[^{18}F]$ 4b was also synthesized in high yield (72% RCY, $n = 3$) at 100 °C after a reaction time of only 30 s, verifying that $[{}^{18}F]$ sulfonyl fluorides are produced extremely rapidly. The radiolabeling efficiency of $[$ ¹⁸F]⁴b was further explored by not drying the $K^{18}F/K_{222}$ complex and adding water prior to adding the precursor 4a. Reaction mixtures containing 3% and 10% water led to the production of $[^{18}F]4b$ in 53% and 19% RCY respectively at 30 °C using 0.5 mg/mL of 4a. This result is consistent with Inkster et al. who reported that $[$ ¹⁸F]benzenesulfonyl fluorides may be successfully prepared in a 1:1 mixture of aqueous/organic solvent at $rt¹$.

Competition Studies. Sulfonyl fluorides are known to react with various nucleophiles (−NH2, −O[H\)](#page-7-0) which displace the fluoride group.³⁴ Additionally, hydrogen bond donor groups $(-NH_2, -OH, -COOH)$ interfere with $[^{18}F]$ radiolabeling and ge[ner](#page-7-0)ally require a protecting group strategy. Therefore, to examine the utility of sulfonyl fluoride radiolabeling, competition studies with nucleophiles and H-bond donors were performed. Radiolabeling of precursor $4a (R = 4 OCH_3-C_6H_4$) at 100 °C in the presence of an equimolar amount of D-tyrosine did not diminish the RCY of $[^{18}F]4b$ (86% after 2 min). Additionally, radiolabeling of 4a in the presence of an equimolar amount of benzylamine gave $[18F]$ 4b in excellent RCY (73%). The byproduct N-benzyl-4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (13, Figure 6) was observed but the high

Figure 6. Crude radio-HPLC chromatogram of $\lceil 18F \rceil 4b$ after reaction was performed at 100 °C in the presence of benzylamine; top panel: radioactivity (cps); presence of $\mathrm{[^{18}F]4b;}$ bottom panel: UV at 254 nm (abs); presence of sulfonamide 13.

RCY shows the amine reacts with the excess sulfonyl chloride precursor and not the [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluoride product. This shows sulfonyl fluorides can be produced in excellent yields in the presence of H-bond donors which usually render the [18F]fluoride non-nucleophilic. It also demonstrates that ^{[18}F]sulfonyl fluorides are relatively stable and not prone to significant degradation by competing nucleophiles such as amines or hydroxyl groups.

Stability Studies. Due to the high radiochemical yields, tolerance for H-bond donor groups and resistance to competing nucleophiles during radiolabeling, time-course stability studies for $[^{18}F]2b$ (R = 4-NHCOCH₃-C₆H₄), $[^{18}F]$ 4b (R = 4-OCH₃-C₆H₄) and [¹⁸F]5b (R = 4-NO₂-C₆H₄) were performed. The three products were initially found to be >97% stable after 3 h at rt in a solution of mobile phase (55% $CH₃CN/45% H₂O/0.1% TFA)$ and in a 1:1 mixture of EtOH/ saline as determined by radio-HPLC. Stability of the three [18F]sulfonyl fluorides was also assessed in buffer (∼20% EtOH in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4) at rt (Figure 7). Sulfonyl fluorides containing electron-donating groups $[^{18}F]2b$ (R = 4-NHCOCH₃-C₆H₄) and $\left[{}^{18}F \right]$ 4b (R = 4-OCH₃-C₆H₄) displayed 98 \pm 1% stability after 3 h (n = 3) as determined by HPLC, however, the stability of the electron-withdrawing sulfonyl fluoride $[^{18}F]$ 5b (R = 4-NO₂-C₆H₄), decreased dramatically, with only 32 \pm 3% of the product intact after 1 h and 8 \pm 2% intact after 3 h $(n = 5)$.

As a comparison, the known $[$ ¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluoride, $[$ ¹⁸F]4formylbenzenesulfonyl fluoride¹⁸ ($\lceil \sqrt[18]{F} \rceil$ 14b, Scheme 2), was synthesized and its stability assessed in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4. The

Figure 7. Stability of $[^{18}F]$ sulfonyl fluorides $[^{18}F]2b$, $[^{18}F]4b$ and $[^{18}F]$ 5b in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4 (containing ∼20% EtOH) at rt over 3 h. Each data point represents the mean of at three independent experiments \pm SD. (Some error bars are smaller than the data points).

nonradioactive reference standard 14b was also prepared to confirm the identity of $[^{18}\mathrm{F}]$ 14b.

Stability of $[^{18}F]$ 14b in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4 buffer containing ∼20% EtOH (as above) was evaluated. After 3 h at rt only 19% of $[{}^{18}F]$ 14b remained as determined by radio-HPLC. This is in agreement with Inkster et al. who demonstrated that the stability of $[^{18}F]14b$ is only 1% after incubation at the elevated temperature of 37 °C for 2.5 h in 10% DMSO in 0.15 M PBS pH 7.4.¹⁸ The formyl substituent has a Hammett (σ_p) value of 0.42 and the observed instability is consistent with our determ[ine](#page-7-0)d stability trends. Specifically, the stability of $[^{18}F]$ 14b (19%) lies between the values obtained for $\binom{18}{5}$ 2b (σ_p = −0.15) and [¹⁸F]**5b** (σ _p = 0.78). This demonstrates, again, that [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluoride stability is inversely proportional to the magnitude of the substituent Hammett constant (σ_n) .

To further assess the stability of $[^{18}F]$ sulfonyl fluorides, selected compounds were evaluated in rat serum. The most stable $[^{18}F]$ sulfonyl fluorides in PBS buffer, $[^{18}F]$ 2b and $[^{18}F]$ 4b, were chosen to be assessed in normal rat plasma, in conjunction with $[^{18}F]11b$ (R = 2,4,6-CH(CH₃)₂-C₆H₂). Despite $\lceil^{18}F\rceil$ 11b not being initially evaluated in PBS buffer, there are suggestions in the literature that increased steric bulk aids in the stability of non C−F bonds such as Si−F under physiological conditions by shielding the Si−F bond from hydrolysis.¹⁵ It was postulated that the steric bulk of the triisopropyl groups in [18F]11b could contribute to the compound'[s](#page-7-0) stability in plasma. The serum stability of compounds $[{}^{18}F]2b, [{}^{18}F]4b$ and $[{}^{18}F]11b$ were analyzed by incubation in normal rat plasma with 3% EtOH at 37 °C for 120 min $(n = 3)$. An aliquot was removed after 1, 15, 30, 60, and 120 min, diluted with water and injected onto an analytical HPLC system. Radio-HPLC analysis was performed following the method of Hilton.³⁵ For comparative purposes, $[18F]2b$, [¹⁸F]4**b** and [¹⁸F]11**b** were also incubated in 0.1 M PBS buffer pH 7.4 containing 3% [Et](#page-7-0)OH at 37 °C for 120 min. All three compounds demonstrated ≥95% stability after 120 min.

Compound [¹⁸F]4**b** (σ _p value of -0.27) exhibited moderate stability in rat plasma with some degradation in the first 60 min, however, only 44 \pm 8% of the compound remained after 120 min (Figure 8). [¹⁸F]Sulfonyl fluoride [¹⁸F]2b (σ_p value of -0.15) displayed signs of degradation after 15 min, with 84 \pm 9% remaining ([Fig](#page-5-0)ure 8). Decomposition of this compound increased rapidly in the first 60 min and only 3 ± 3 % remained after 120 min. The remainder of the radioactivity at 120 min was detected within the [fi](#page-5-0)rst minute in the radio-HPLC and can be attributed to degradation products. Free fluoride was

Figure 8. Stability of $\binom{18}{1}$ sulfonyl fluorides $\binom{18}{1}$ **2b**, $\binom{18}{1}$ ^{4b} and $\binom{18}{1}$ 11b in normal rat plasma (containing 3% EtOH) at 37 °C over 120 min. Each data point represents the mean of at least three independent experiments \pm SD. (Some error bars are smaller than the data points).

detected "bleeding" off the HPLC column, making the baseline not uniform, an observation that has been reported previously.¹⁸ (See Supporting Information for a typical radio-HPLC chromatogram). Surprisingly, the 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfon[yl](#page-7-0) fluoride $[$ ¹⁸F $]$ **11b**, with a Hammett constant of −0.15 exhibited e[xcellent](#page-7-0) [stability](#page-7-0) [in](#page-7-0) [rat](#page-7-0) [pl](#page-7-0)asma at 37 °C. No degradation of the compound was observed in the initial 30 min, followed by 96 \pm 1% and 95 \pm 1% of the compound remaining in serum after 60 and 120 min, respectively (Figure 8). This suggests that steric hindrance is the more important factor than substituent electronics in affecting radiotracer stability. The specific activity of the two most stable compounds, $[$ ¹⁸F]11b and $[$ ¹⁸F]4b, were calculated to be 397 \pm 49 GBq/ μ mol (10.7 \pm 1.3 Ci/ μ mol) and 335 \pm 117 GBq/ μ mol (9.0 \pm 3.2 Ci/ μ mol) (EOS), respectively.

■ CONCLUSION

A fundamental study into the radiolabeling and subsequent stability of 12 aryl $[$ ¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluorides was achieved using an Advion NanoTek microfluidic synthesis system. The microfluidic device allowed rapid optimization of RCY by allowing fast screening of reaction conditions including temperature, precursor amount, reaction time, precursor sterics and electronics. Most [18F]sulfonyl fluorides were radiolabeled at concentrations as low as 0.5 mg/mL and reaction times of less than 1 min. Radiochemical yields were typically higher, at higher reaction temperatures (100−120 °C) for aryl sulfonyl fluorides bearing electron-donating groups $(e.g., [^{18}F]4b)$ compared to electron-withdrawing groups $(e.g., [^{18}F]5b)$. Almost all aryl [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluorides, however, were obtained in very good RCY (>75%) at temperatures between 30 and 100 °C and with excellent radiochemical purity (>97%). Investigations into the radiolabeling of $[$ ¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluorides under conventional heating conditions showed that $[^{18}F]$ sulfonyl fluorides are reasonably stable and can be prepared in good yields in the presence of water, other nucleophiles and unprotected chemical groups i.e. amines, acids and phenols. Barely any radiosynthons reported in the literature can tolerate these conditions and thus, our results give precedence to

radiochemists to produce simple, faster, higher yielding, robust reaction pathways.

Stability studies in mobile phase (55% CH₃CN/45% H₂O/ 0.1% TFA) showed $\lceil^{18}F\rceil$ sulfonyl fluorides bearing electrondonating and electron-withdrawing groups were >97% intact after 3 h at rt. Further stability studies in buffer (∼20% EtOH in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4) showed that $\lceil^{18}F\rceil$ sulfonyl fluorides bearing electron-donating groups were >98% intact after 3 h at rt but those with strongly electron-withdrawing groups were only 8% intact after 3 h at rt. Stability studies in rat serum at 37 °C after 120 min showed that $[^{18}F]$ sulfonyl fluorides with electron donating groups $[^{18}F]2b$ (44%) and $[^{18}F]4b$ (3%) were significantly diminished compared to PBS buffer. The sterically hindered $[^{18}F]$ 11b, however, was >95% intact in serum after 120 min at 37 °C. This result suggests that steric hindrance is more important than substrate electronics for increasing radiotracer stability. From this work it is predicted that [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluoride functional groups will be most stable in vivo when they have a combination of electron-donating groups and increased steric bulk near the sulfonyl fluoride group. This work not only makes an important contribution to our fundamental understanding of [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluoride radiochemistry but is also the first step toward our longer term goal of developing novel $\binom{18}{1}$ radiolabeling methodologies. With the insights gained during this study, we are now investigating and developing a high yielding, water compatible, one-step radiolabeling procedure for a stabile $[$ ¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluoride synthon with bioconjugation functionality. Our results from this work will be reported in due course.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen and all solvents used were HPLC grade. Automated flash chromatography was performed using normal phase silica gel cartridges. $^1\mathrm{H}$, $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ and $^{19}\mathrm{F}$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were acquired on a 400 MHz spectrometer; ¹⁹F samples were calibrated externally to trifluorotoluene. IR spectra were acquired using a FT-IR spectrometer. Mass spectrometry (MS) was performed on a quadrupole spectrometer, while high-resolution MS was performed on a Q-TOF spectrometer.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 1b, 2b, 4b−12b, 14b. The appropriate sulfonyl chloride (1a, 2a, 4a−12a, 14a; 1−5 mmol, 1 equiv) and TBAF (1 M in THF; 2 equiv) were stirred at rt for 1−16 h. The reaction mixture was then purified using an automated flash chromatography system (silica, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate gradient) to yield the sulfonyl fluorides 1b, 2b, 4b−12b, 14b.

Benzenesulfonyl Fluoride (1b). Yield (154 mg, 57%); yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 8.11 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (t, J $= 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.85−7.81 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 137.2, 133.6 (J = 24 Hz), 131.1, 129.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 Hz, acetone d_6): δ 64.1. IR (cm⁻¹): 1451, 1405, 1210, 750.

4-Acetamidobenzene-1-sulfonyl Fluoride (2b). Yield (580 mg, 61%); white/beige crystals; mp 175−176 °C (lit.³⁶ 175.2 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.69 (bs, 1H, NH), 7.87 (s, 4H, CH \times 4), 2.21 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃[\):](#page-7-0) δ 169.6, 145.3, 129.9, 122.7, 119.6, 24.8. ¹⁹F NMR (376 Hz, acetone- d_6): δ 65.3. IR (cm[−]¹): 3339, 1690, 1589, 1531, 1490, 1399, 1207, 1178, 763, 617. MS (ESI+) m/z : 218 [M+H]⁺. HRMS (ESI+) m/z : calcd for $C_8H_9FNO_3S: 218.0287 [M+H]^+$; found 218.0297 $[M+H]^+$.

4-Methoxybenzene-1-sulfonyl Fluoride (4b). Yield (570 mg, 62%); clear oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.93 (dt, J = 9.2 Hz, 3.2 Hz, 2H, CH \times 2), 7.05 (dt, J = 8.8 Hz, 2.8 Hz, 2H, CH \times 2), 3.91 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 165.4, 130.9, 124.1 $(J = 24 \text{ Hz})$, 56.0. ¹⁹F NMR (376 Hz, acetone- d_6): δ 65.7. IR (cm⁻¹): 1595, 1501, 1398, 1267, 1206, 1172, 807, 750, 670.

4-Nitrobenzene-1-sulfonyl Fluoride (5b). Yield (400 mg, 42%); beige crystals; mp 75−77 °C (lit.³⁷ 77.5−78.5 °C). ^ìH NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.48 (dt, J = 8.8 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 2H, CH \times 2), 8.24 (dt, J $= 8.8$ Hz, 2.4 Hz, 2H, CH \times 2). ^{[13](#page-7-0)}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 152.0, 138.5 (J = 27 Hz), 130.2, 125.0. 19F NMR (376 Hz, acetone d_6): δ 64.0. IR (cm⁻¹): 1609, 1532, 1417, 1348, 1214, 784, 615.

4-Fluorobenzene-1-sulfonyl Fluoride (6b). Yield (152 mg, 52%); yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 8.23 (q, J = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 166.7, 132.8 $(J = 11$ Hz), 129.6 $(J = 25$ Hz), 118.4 $(J = 23$ Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 Hz, acetone- d_6): δ −101.9, 64.9. IR (cm⁻¹): 1592, 1407, 1209, 837, 754, 672.

4-Chlorobenzene-1-sulfonyl Fluoride (7b). Yield (163 mg, 62%); white powder; mp 47-48 °C (lit.^{38,39} 38.4-39.8 °C; 48-49 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 8.14 (dt, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.86 (dt, J = 8.4 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³[C NM](#page-8-0)R (100 MHz, acetoned₆): δ 143.3, 132.1 (J = 24 Hz), 131.4, 131.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 Hz, acetone- d_6): δ 64.5. IR (cm⁻¹): 1572, 1473, 1405, 1209, 1088, 775, 740, 648.

4-Bromobenzene-1-sulfonyl Fluoride (8b). Yield (194 mg, 63%); beige solid; mp 52–54 °C (lit.³⁸ 58.1–59.8 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 8.04 (q, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 134.4, 132.6 (J = 26 [Hz](#page-8-0)), 132.0, 131.0. ¹⁹F NMR (376 Hz, acetone- d_6): δ 64.5. IR (cm^{-1}) : 1573, 1468, 1403, 1207, 1065, 770, 731, 640.

4-Iodobenzene-1-sulfonyl Fluoride (9b). Yield (176 mg, 57%); beige powder; mp 70 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 8.24 $(dd, J = 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.88 \text{ (dd, } J = 2.0 \text{ Hz}, 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 2H).$ ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6): 140.5, 133.1 (J = 25 Hz), 130.5, 105.2.
¹⁹F NMR (376 Hz, acetone- d_6): δ 64.3. IR (cm^{−1}): 1567, 1469, 1403, 1207, 767, 724, 602.

2,4,6-Trimethylbenzene-1-sulfonyl Fluoride (10b). Yield (550 mg, 59%); white powder; mp 71–73 °C (lit.⁴⁰ 73–73.5 °C). ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ 7.03 (s, 2H, CH \times 2), 2.64 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 6H, CH₃ × 2), 2.34 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (1[00](#page-8-0) MHz, CDCl₃): δ 145.2, 140.2, 132.0 $(J = 1$ Hz), 129.3 $(J = 20$ Hz), 22.5 $(J = 2$ Hz), 21.3. ¹⁹F NMR (376 Hz, CD₃CN-d₃): δ 72.3. IR (cm⁻¹): 1604, 1440, 1392, 1204, 748, 663. MS (EI) m/z: 202 [M+]. HRMS (ESI+) m/z: calcd for $C_9H_{12}FO_2S$: 203.0542 [M+H]⁺; found 203.0543 [M+H]⁺ .

2,4,6-Triisopropylbenzene-1-sulfonyl Fluoride (11b). Yield (310 mg, 65%); white crystals; mp 68−69 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.28 (s, 2H, CH × 2), 4.02–3.94 (m, 2H, CH × 2), 3.00– 2.93 (m, 1H, CH), 1.33−1.29 (m, 18H, CH₃ × 6). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.5, 150.9, 128.1 (J = 19 Hz), 124.1, 34.5, 30.3 (J = 2 Hz), 24.6. ¹⁹F NMR (376 Hz, CD₃CN-d₃): δ 67.1. IR (cm⁻¹): 2960, 1599, 1431, 1399, 1363, 1205, 846, 764, 667.

Thiophene-2-sulfonyl Fluoride (12b). Yield (181 mg, 60%); yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 8.35 (ddd, J = 5.2 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 0.4 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dt, J = 4.0 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (ddd, J = 4.8 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 0.8 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 139.4 ($J = 3$ Hz), 138.8 ($J = 2$ Hz), 131.3 ($J = 30$ Hz), 129.8. ¹⁹F NMR $(376 \text{ Hz}, \text{ acetone-}d_6)$: δ 70.2. IR (cm^{-1}) : 1400, 1204, 1024, 859, 767, 672.

4-Formylbenzene-1-sulfonyl Fluoride (14b). Yield (62 mg, 29%); white powder; mp 59-60 °C (lit.¹⁸ 60 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 10.26 (s, 1H), 8.35 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.32 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, a[cet](#page-7-0)one- d_6): δ 192.1, 142.5, 137.7 (J = 25 Hz), 131.5, 130.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 Hz, acetone- d_6): δ 63.9. IR (cm[−]¹): 2866, 1702, 1407, 1205, 773, 697, 605. MS (ESI+) m/z : 188 [M+H]⁺. .

Radiochemistry. Aqueous $H[^{18}F]$ was produced on a GE PETtrace cyclotron (Cyclotek, Bundoora, Australia) or an IBA Cyclone 18 Twin cyclotron (ANSTO, Camperdown, Australia) using the ${}^{18}O(p, n){}^{18}F$ nuclear reaction. Microfluidic radiosyntheses were performed in Discovery Mode using a NanoTek LF Microfluidic Synthesis System (Advion, Ithaca, NY) connected to a standard laptop using the NanoTek software, V1.4.0 GMP Lite. Microreactors were made of fused silica tubing (100 μ m \times 2 m), coiled tightly into a brass ring containing a thermoresistant polymer to hold the tubing in place. [¹⁸F]1b-12b and [¹⁸F]14b were purified by HPLC using a Waters 515 pump, a Linear UVis 200 detector $(\lambda = 254 \text{ nm})$ together with a Carroll and Ramsey model 105S gamma detector. [18F]1b−12b samples from the microfluidic reactor were purified using a Phenomenex Luna C18 column (150 \times 4.6 mm, 5 μ m) at 1.2 mL/ min with $CH_3CN/H_2O/TFA$ (55:45:0.1, v/v) as the mobile phase, with the following exceptions: $[^{18}F]2b$ (52.5% CH₃CN); $[^{18}F]7b$ (65% CH₃CN); $[^{18}F]8b$ (65% CH₃CN); $[^{18}F]9b$ (70% CH₃CN); $\left[{}^{18}F \right]$ 10b (90% CH₃CN); $\left[{}^{18}F \right]$ 11b (70% CH₃CN). $\left[{}^{18}F \right]$ 2b, $\left[{}^{18}F \right]$ 4b, $[$ ¹⁸F]5b, $[$ ¹⁸F]11b and $[$ ¹⁸F]14b samples from the conventional radiosynthetic methods were purified using Phenomenex Luna C18 columns (250 \times 10 mm, 5 or 10 μ m) at 2 mL/min with CH₃CN/ H₂O/TFA (70:30:0.1, v/v) as the mobile phase (90:10:0.1, v/v for $[$ ¹⁸F]11b). Specific activity values for $[$ ¹⁸F]^{4b} and $[$ ¹⁸F]11b were obtained by measuring the radioactivity injected and the UV absorbance associated with the radioactive peak by analytical HPLC. The concentration of the sample was found by comparison of the UV area under the curve to the concentration calibration curve of the analogous reference standard. Radioactivity was measured using a Capintec R15C dose calibrator. Isolated radiochemical yields of $\lceil^{18}F\rceil$ 1b−12b, 14b were collected HPLC yields calculated as a percentage of the initial radioactivity injected. Solid phase extraction Sep-pak C18 Light cartridges were purchased from Waters Corporation (Milford, MA) and activated with 5 mL of EtOH and 20 mL of H₂O.

Microfluidic Procedure. No-carrier-added $(n.c.a.)$ aqueous $\binom{18}{1}$ fluoride (500−2300 MBq) was trapped onto an anion-exchange resin (MP1) and eluted with a solution of K_2CO_3 (5 mg/mL) and Kryptofix [2.2.2] (20 mg/mL) in CH₃CN/H₂O (90:10 v/v, 450 μ L). Subsequent azeotropic drying $(2 \times 500 \mu L)$ was performed at 95 °C, followed by the addition of 500 μ L of CH₃CN. The loop of pump 1 was filled with the precursor solution (0.5−10 mg/mL in CH₃CN), while the loop of pump 3 was filled with the dried $K^{18}F/K_{222}$ complex. Equal volumes of $K^{18}F/K_{222}$ and the precursor (10 μ L) were released from each pump and pushed through the microreactor at a flow rate of 20 μ L/min, at temperatures between 30 and 180 °C. The crude product was released from the microreactor with 200 μ L of CH₃CN into an Eppendorf tube, before 300 μ L of H₂O was added and the whole sample was injected onto an analytical HPLC system for analysis and purification.

Conventional Procedure. An aqueous H[¹⁸F] solution (100− 500 MBq) was added to a 2.5 mL vial containing a solution of Kryptofix [2.2.2] (1.24−1.83 mg, 12.4−18.3 μ L in CH₃CN, 2 equiv) and K₂CO₃ (0.23–0.34 mg, 2.3–3.4 μ L in H₂O, 1 equiv). The solvent was evaporated under a stream of N_2 at 100 °C under vacuum and the residue was azeotropically dried with 3×1 mL anhydrous CH₃CN. The precursor 2a, 4a, 5a, 11a or 14a (0.5 mg, 1 equiv) was dissolved in anhydrous CH₃CN (1 mL) and added to the dried $K^{18}F/K_{222}$ complex before being heated at 100 °C for 2 min. (For reactions at 30 °C, the reaction vial was cooled in H_2O for 5 min before the addition of the precursor). A 200 μ L aliquot was added to 500 μ L of mobile phase and purified using HPLC to yield $\rm [^{18}F]2b$ (30 $\rm ^oC\rm{:\,86\pm}$ 3% RCY, $n = 3$; 100 °C: 87 ± 3 % RCY, $n = 4$), $[{}^{18}F]$ 4b (30 °C: $84 \pm$ 2% RCY, $n = 3$; 100 °C: 88 \pm 1% RCY, $n = 3$), $\left[{}^{18}F \right]$ 5b (30 °C: 77 \pm 7% RCY, $n = 4$; 100 °C: 74 \pm 6% RCY, $n = 4$), $\binom{18}{11}$ 1b (100 °C: 64 \pm 1% RCY, $n = 3$) and [¹⁸F]**14b** (100 °C: 58 \pm 11% RCY, $n = 3$). [¹⁸F]4**b** was also produced in 72 \pm 11% RCY (n = 3) after being heated at 100 °C for 30 s.

Competition Studies. Addition of an Unprotected Amino Acid. The radiosynthesis was performed using the conventional method. The precursor 4a (0.5 mg, 2.42 μ mol) and D-tyrosine (0.44 mg, 2.42 μ mol) were dissolved in anhydrous CH₃CN (1 mL) and added to the dried $K^{18}F/K_{222}$ complex before being heated at 100 °C for 2 min. A 200 μ L aliquot was added to 500 μ L of mobile phase and purified using HPLC to yield $\lceil^{18}F\rceil 4b$ in 86% RCY.

Addition of an Amine. The radiosynthesis was performed using the conventional method. The precursor 4a $(0.5 \text{ mg}, 2.42 \mu \text{mol})$ and benzylamine (0.26 mg, 2.42 μ mol) were dissolved in anhydrous CH₃CN (1 mL) and added to the dried $K^{18}F/K_{222}$ complex before being heated at 100 °C for 2 min. A 200 μ L aliquot was added to 500 μ L of mobile phase and purified using HPLC to yield $[^{18}F]3b$ in 73 \pm 22% RCY, $n = 3$.

Stability Studies. Buffer. A HPLC purified sample of $[^{18}F]2b$, [¹⁸F]4**b**, [¹⁸F]5**b** or [¹⁸F]14**b** (2–3 mL) was diluted with H₂O (∼15 mL) and loaded onto a preactivated Sep-pak C18 Light cartridge. The cartridge was eluted with EtOH (300 μ L), 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4 (1 mL) was added and the sample was incubated at rt for 3 h, with an aliquot (400 μ L) injected onto the HPLC system after 1, 2, and 3 h to assess the percentage of the $[18F]$ sulfonyl fluoride still intact. Briefly, a precolumn and a reverse phase HPLC column were used in series, with a switching valve between columns. First, the precolumn was washed with 1% CH₃CN in water for 3 min to elute polar impurities and then the solvent direction was switched to include the HPLC column. Both columns in the series were then eluted. The radioactivity peak corresponding to the appropriate $[$ ¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluoride was compared to the total activity in the radiochromatogram to give the percentage of intact $[^{18}F]$ sulfonyl fluoride.

Serum. A HPLC purified sample of $[^{18}F]2b$, $[^{18}F]4b$ or $[^{18}F]11b$ (2−3 mL) was diluted with H₂O (~15 mL) and loaded onto a preactivated Sep-pak C18 Light cartridge. The cartridge was eluted with EtOH (300 μ L). An aliquot (3 μ L) was removed, added to normal rat plasma (97 μ L) and heated at 37 °C. Aliquots (1 μ L) were removed after 1, 15, 30, 60, and 120 min and quenched with H_2O (99 μ L). 50 μ L of this sample was then injected onto the HPLC system (<20 cps) to assess the percentage of the [¹⁸F]sulfonyl fluoride still intact. For comparison, a $3 \mu L$ aliquot of the $\left[\frac{18}{18}\right]$ sulfonyl fluoride sample was also added to 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4 (97 μ L) and heated at 37 °C. Aliquots (1 μ L) were removed after 1, 60, and 120 min and quenched with H₂O (99 μ L). 50 μ L of this sample was then injected onto the HPLC system to assess the percentage of the $[^{18}F]$ sulfonyl fluoride still intact.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Table of Hammett constants, HPLC purity data, radio-HPLC chromatograms, $\rm ^1H,~^{13}C$ and $\rm ^{19}F$ NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

E AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: benjamin.fraser@ansto.gov.au. Tel: +61 2 9717 3887. Fax: +61 2 9717 9262.

Notes

The auth[ors](mailto:benjamin.fraser@ansto.gov.au) [declare](mailto:benjamin.fraser@ansto.gov.au) [no](mailto:benjamin.fraser@ansto.gov.au) [competing](mailto:benjamin.fraser@ansto.gov.au) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Nigel Lengkeek for assistance with 19F NMR, Ms. Cathy Jiang for technical assistance, Dr. Vu Nguyen for the supply of rat plasma and Dr. Giancarlo Pascali for useful discussions.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Kumar, R.; Halanaik, D.; Dahiya, S. PET in Anti-Cancer Drug Development and Therapy. In Frontiers in Anti-Cancer Drug Discovery; Rahman, A. U., Choudhary, M. I., Eds.; Bentham Science Publishers: Sharjah, 2010; Vol. 1, p 541.

(2) Coenen, H. H.; Elsinga, P. H.; Iwata, R.; Kilbourn, M. R.; Pillai, M. R. A.; Rajan, M. G. R.; Wagner, H. N., Jr.; Zaknun, J. J. Nucl. Med. Biol. 2010, 37, 727.

(3) Luthra, S. K.; Robins, E. G. 18F-Labelled Tracers for PET Oncology and Neurology Applications. In Fluorine in Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Chemistry; Gouverneur, V., Müller, K., Eds.; Imperial College Press: London, 2012; Vol. 6, p 383.

(4) Kitson, S. L. Curr. Radiopharm. 2009, 2, 224.

(5) Fernandes, E. Curr. Radiopharm. 2012, 5, 90.

(6) Hendrikse, N. Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev. 2008, 11, 717.

(7) Le Bars, D. J. Fluor. Chem. 2006, 127, 1488.

(8) Miller, P. W.; Long, N. J.; Vilar, R.; Gee, A. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8998.

(9) Comagic, S.; Piel, M.; Schirrmacher, R.; Höhnemann, S.; Rö sch, F. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2002, 56, 847.

(10) Wü st, F. R.; Kniess, T. J. Label. Compd. Radiopharm. 2003, 46, 699.

(11) Mäding, P.; Füchtner, F.; Wüst, F. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2005, 63, 329.

(12) Speranza, A.; Ortosecco, G.; Castaldi, E.; Nardelli, A.; Pace, L.; Salvatore, M. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2009, 67, 1664.

(13) Studenov, A. R.; Adam, M. J.; Wilson, J. S.; Ruth, T. J. J. Label. Compd. Radiopharm. 2005, 48, 497.

(14) Ting, R.; Adam, M. J.; Ruth, T. J.; Perrin, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13094.

(15) Wängler, C.; Kostikov, A.; Zhu, J.; Chin, J.; Wängler, B.; Schirrmacher, R. Appl. Sci. 2012, 2, 277.

(16) Mu, L. Curr. Radiopharm. 2010, 3, 224.

(17) McBride, W. J.; D'Souza, C. A.; Sharkey, R. M.; Karacay, H.; Rossi, E. A.; Chang, C.-H.; Goldenberg, D. M. Bioconjugate Chem. 2010, 21, 1331.

(18) Inkster, J. A. H.; Liu, K.; Ait-Mohand, S.; Schaffer, P.; Guérin, B.; Ruth, T. J.; Storr, T. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 11079.

(19) Smith, T. A. D. J. Label. Compd. Radiopharm. 2012, 55, 281.

(20) Ting, R.; Harwig, C.; auf dem Keller, U.; McCormick, S.; Austin, P.; Overall, C. M.; Adam, M. J.; Ruth, T. J.; Perrin, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12045.

(21) Gu, C. Chem. Biol. 2013, 20, 541.

(22) Grimster, N. P.; Connelly, S.; Baranczak, A.; Dong, J.; Krasnova, L. B.; Sharpless, K. B.; Powers, E. T.; Wilson, I. A.; Kelly, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5656.

(23) Brouwer, A. J.; Jonker, A.; Werkhoven, P.; Kuo, E.; Li, N.; Gallastegui, N.; Kemmink, J.; Florea, B. I.; Groll, M.; Overkleeft, H. S.; Liskamp, R. M. J. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 10995.

(24) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 165.

(25) Gillies, J. M.; Prenant, C.; Chimon, G. N.; Smethurst, G. J.; Perrie, W.; Hambletta, I.; Dekker, B.; Zweit, J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2006, 64, 325.

(26) Miller, P. W. J. Chem.Technol. Biot. 2009, 84, 309.

(27) Elizarov, A. M. Lab Chip 2009, 9, 1326.

(28) Lebedev, A.; Miraghaie, R.; Kotta, K.; Ball, C. E.; Zhang, J. Z.;

Buchsbaum, M. S.; Kolb, H. C.; Elizarov, A. Lab Chip 2013, 13, 136. (29) Steel, C. J.; O'Brien, A. T.; Luthra, S. K.; Brady, F. J. Label. Compd. Radiopharm. 2007, 50, 308.

(30) Pascali, G.; Mazzone, G.; Saccomanni, G.; Manera, C.; Salvadori, P. A. Nucl. Med. Biol. 2010, 37, 547.

(31) Ungersboeck, J.; Philippe, C.; Mien, L. K.; Haeusler, D.; Shanab, K.; Lanzenberger, R.; Spreitzer, H.; Keppler, B. K.; Dudczak, R.; Kletter, K.; Mitterhauser, M.; Wadsak, W. Nucl. Med. Biol. 2011, 38, 427.

(32) Suehiro, M.; Vallabhajosula, S.; Goldsmith, S. J.; Ballon, D. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2007, 65, 1350.

(33) Hayashi, K.; Furutsuka, K.; Ito, T.; Muto, M.; Aki, H.; Fukumura, T.; Suzuki, K. J. Label. Compd. Radiopharm. 2012, 55, 120. (34) Kamoshenkova, O. M.; Boiko, V. N. J. Fluor. Chem. 2010, 131, 248.

(35) Hilton, J.; Yokoi, F.; Dannals, R. F.; Rauert, H. T.; Szabo, Z.; Wong, D. F. Nucl. Med. Biol. 2000, 27, 627.

(36) Qu, Q.; Tang, X.; Wang, C.; Yang, G.; Hu, X.; Lu, X.; Liu, Y.; Li, S.; Yan, C. Anal. Chim. Acta 2006, 572, 212.

(37) Jenkins, F. E.; Hambly, A. N. Aust. J. Chem. 1953, 6, 318.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article** 3 and 2008 and 20

(38) Chen, G.; Chen, F.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, X.; Yuan, X.; Wu, F.; Yang, X. J. Fluor. Chem. 2012, 133, 155.

(39) Kulka, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 1215.

(40) Steinkopf, W.; Buchheim, K.; Beythien, K.; Dudek, H.; Eisold, J.; Gall, J.; Jaeger, P.; Reumuth, H.; Semenoff, A.; Wemme, A. J. Prakt. Chem. 1927, Chem. Abstr. CAN21:29985.